IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM NO.684-CI of 2007 and
RFA No.3380 of 2006
DATE OF DECISION: February 12, 2007

Ajit Yadav

….Appellant.

VERSUS

The Land Acquisition Collector

….Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for the appellant.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of the application to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of Court, Shri Ashok Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The prayer made in the present application is to dispose of the main appeal in terms of the judgment dated May 3, 2006 passed in RFA No.2501 of 2001 (Ved Pal and others v. State of Haryana and others). A copy of the judgment in **Ved Pal's** case has been appended alongwith the present application.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the judgment in **Ved Pal's** case, I find that the controversy in the main appeal is indeed covered by the judgment in **Ved Pal's** case (supra).

Consequently, the prayer made in the application is allowed. The main appeal being RFA No.3380 of 2006 is disposed of in terms of the judgment dated May 3, 2006 passed in RFA No.2501 of 2001 (Ved Pal and others v. State of Haryana and others).

CM as well as the main appeal stand disposed of accordingly.

February 12, 2007 (Viney Mittal)
KD Judge